# Kinetic modeling of interstellar hydrogen and backscattered Ly-α emission

# **Igor Baliukin**

Space Research Institute (IKI) RAS



New Horizons Science Team Meeting #55 24 January 2024



# "H wall" is a manifestation of the charge exchange effect



#### $\mathsf{H} + \mathsf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \leftrightarrows \mathsf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle +} + \mathsf{H}$

- Charge exchange provides exchange of **momentum and energy** between plasma and neutral components.
- It is very important dynamically.

- "H wall" is a **moderate** (by factor of 2 or less) increase of the number density of interstellar H atoms in the vicinity of the heliopause.
- "H wall" consists of the **secondary** interstellar atoms that originated in the vicinity of the heliopause by charge exchange with decelerated and heated protons.
- **First time** the H wall was **predicted theoretically** by *Baranov*, Lebedev, Malama (1991, ApJ). The **first self-consistent model**: Baranov and Malama (1993, JGR).

# Hydrogen parameters in the upwind direction



**Secondary** interstellar atoms are **slower** and **hotter** as compared with the primary interstellar component.

# **Observational proof of the H wall**

 Secondary interstellar atoms are seen in absorption spectra towards nearby stars!

 H wall was discovered by Linsky and Wood (1996, ApJ) in Ly-α absorption spectra observed using HST/GHRS toward Alpha-Cen. It was confirmed by many other HST observations.



# Effect of the interstellar magnetic field on the "H wall"



*Izmodenov et al. (2005, A&A)* – the first self-consistent global model of SW/LISM interaction with the interstellar magnetic field taken into account



# Effect of the interstellar magnetic field on the "H wall"



# Kinetic modeling of H atoms

Difficulty in the modeling of the interstellar hydrogen is the large mean free path (Kn~1).

Table 1from Izmodenov (2001)

Meanfree paths of H-atoms in the heliospheric interface with respect to charge exchange with protons, in AU

Population	At TS	At HP	Between HP and BS	LISM
4 (primary interstellar)	150	100	110	870
3 (secondary interstellar)	66	40	58	190
2 (atoms originated in the heliosheath	830	200	110	200
1 (neutralized solar wind)	16000	510	240	490

#### (minimum) Requirements for the SW/LISM interaction models:

- Kinetic equation for interstellar neutral component collision integral depends on the plasma parameters.
- MHD equations for plasma component right parts of the momentum and energy equations are the integrals of the H velocity distribution function.
- Kinetic and MHD equations should be solved self-consistently.

# Overview of the latest version of Moscow model of the SW/LISM interaction [Izmodenov & Alexashov 2015, 2020, 2023]

- Plasma component (protons, electrons, solar α-particles): ideal MHD (3D + time) + sources of momentum and energy due to charge exchange: H + H<sup>+</sup> → H<sup>+</sup>+ H
- Neutral component (interstellar hydrogen): kinetic equation taking into account charge-exchange (Kn ~ 1) (Monte Carlo method with splitting of trajectories)
- Magnetic field heliospheric and interstellar (frozen into plasma component)
- Heliolatitudinal and non-stationary behavior of the solar wind
- Additional components: α-particles, minor interstellar components (He, He<sup>+</sup>, O)
- Electron thermal conduction in the inner heliosheath





### **3D time-dependent local kinetic model** of the H atoms distribution inside the heliosphere

Hydrogen distribution in the heliosphere is effected by:

1. Global effects: distribution function of H atoms is distorted in the heliospheric interface due to <u>charge exchange</u>  $\rightarrow$  distribution function is not Maxwellian (Izmodenov et al. 2001).

Velocity distribution of primary and secondary interstellar atoms





-20

-40

<sup>-20</sup> V <sup>0</sup>

20

20

10

V<sub>x</sub>

-10

-20

-40

<sup>-30</sup> V, <sup>-20</sup>

-10





Izmodenov, Gruntman, Malama (2001, JGR)

### 3D time-dependent local kinetic model of the H atoms distribution inside the heliosphere

Hydrogen distribution in the heliosphere is effected by:

1. Global effects: distribution function of H atoms is distorted in the heliospheric interface due to charge exchange  $\rightarrow$  distribution function is not Maxwellian (Izmodenov et al. 2001).

2. Local effects are important near the Sun (solar gravitation  $F_{g}$ , radiation  $F_{rad}$  and ionization  $\beta_{E}$ ). Model is 3D and time-depended due to detailed description of these effects.

### 3D time-dependent local kinetic model of the H atoms distribution inside the heliosphere

Hydrogen distribution in the heliosphere is effected by:

1. Global effects: distribution function of H atoms is distorted in the heliospheric interface due to charge exchange  $\rightarrow$  distribution function is not Maxwellian (Izmodenov et al. 2001).

2. Local effects are important near the Sun (solar gravitation  $F_g$ , radiation  $F_{rad}$  and ionization  $\beta_E$ ). Model is 3D and time-depended due to detailed description of these effects.

**Kinetic equation:**  $\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{w}, t)}{\partial t} + \mathbf{w} \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{w}, t)}{\partial \mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{F}(r, \lambda, v_r, t) \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{w}, t)}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = -\beta(r, \lambda, t) \cdot f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{w}, t)$   $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_g + \mathbf{F}_{rad} = -\frac{G \cdot M_s \cdot (1 - \mu)}{r^2} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} , \text{ where } \mu = |\mathbf{F}_{rad}|/|\mathbf{F}_g| = \mu(t, \lambda, v_r)$   $\mu \text{ is taken from the analysis of disk-integrated solar Ly-a line profiles}$ 

from SUMER/SOHO by Kowalska-Leszczynska et al. (2018, 2020).

$$\beta(r,\lambda,t) = \left(\beta_{ex,E}(\lambda,t) + \beta_{ph,E}(\lambda,t)\right) \left(\frac{r_E}{r}\right)^2 = \beta_E(\lambda,t) \left(\frac{r_E}{r}\right)^2, r_{\rm E}=1 \, {\rm AU}$$

 $\beta_{ex,E}$  is estimated using the inversion procedure (Quemerais et al. 2006) that is applied to the SWAN/SOHO Ly- $\alpha$  data.



Outer boundary for the local model is 70 AU

# **Radiative transfer model**



= 
$$F_S(\mathbf{r}', \nu) \sigma_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}', \nu)$$
, where  $F_S(\mathbf{r}', \nu) = F_E(\nu) \frac{r'}{r'^2}$  — solar Ly-a flux

# **Radiative transfer model**



# Could the H wall be detected in backscattered solar Ly-a?

#### Velocity distribution of **secondary** interstellar atoms in the H wall

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

$$V_r = c (1 - \lambda_0 / \lambda)$$

Photons with the wavelengths  $V_r > 0$  are not scattered inside the heliosphere but are scattered by the atoms inside the H wall.

Primary and secondary interstellar atoms inside the heliosphere

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

The (relative) increase in the upwind direction **should be seen** when an observer is approaching the H wall.

# **Modeling results**

The ratio of intensities in the "tail" and "nose" directions is an effective tool for remote diagnostic of the H wall (its height and location):

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

- independent of the instrument absolute calibration
- independent of the solar Ly- $\alpha$  flux variations
- minimum of the ratio corresponds to the maximum of the H wall

No.	n <sub>H,LISM</sub> <sup>a</sup> (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	n <sub>p,LISM</sub> <sup>b</sup> (cm <sup>-3</sup> )
Model 1	0.14	0.04
Model 2	0.18	0.06
Model 3	0.2	0.1

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Voyager/UVS: Remote sensing of the H wall

#### Katushkina et al. (2016, JGR)

**DATA**: Voyager 1/UVS intensity measurements in 1993-2003 (scanning regime from nose to tail) at <u>53–88 AU</u> from the Sun

#### **RESULTS**:

- **Model 1** provides a systematically larger downwind to upwind intensity ratio compared to the data.
- To decrease the ratio, a higher and/or closer H-wall is needed.

Local emissivity(r, t) ~  $n_H(r) \times F_{S,E}(t)/r^2$ 

- <u>Higher n<sub>H</sub></u> inside the H wall → higher local emissivity → higher l<sub>nose</sub> → <u>lower ratio</u>
- <u>Closer H wall</u>  $\rightarrow$  higher local emissivity  $\rightarrow$  higher I<sub>nose</sub>  $\rightarrow$  <u>lower ratio</u>

![](_page_15_Figure_9.jpeg)

Model 1 – Izmodenov & Alexashov (2015)

**Model 3** ( $n_H = 0.2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $n_p = 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) provides a good agreement with the data. However, in this model the TS is located closer to the Sun than it was observed by V1.

# Voyager/UVS: Unknown Source of Additional Emission

Katushkina et al. (2017, JGR)

#### **RESULT:**

The additional constant emission of ~15-20 Rayleigh leads to a good agreement with the Voyager data in 1993-2003 as well (even without higher/closer H wall, suggested by Katushkina et al. 2016).

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Summary

- **H wall** consists of the **secondary interstellar atoms**, which have smaller bulk velocity and larger dispersion of the individual velocities ("effective" temperature) as compared with the primary component.
- The absorption produced by the H wall is observed in Ly-α spectra measured toward nearby stars.
- **Kinetic approach is needed** for modeling of the secondary component and should be used for the data analysis.
- **H wall should be seen** in the distant measurements of backscattered solar Ly-α emission.
- Analysis of the intensities ratio  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_{tail} / \mathbf{I}_{nose}$  is a tool for remote sensing of the H wall (its peak value and location). The ratio is not dependent on the instrument calibration and modulations of the solar Ly- $\alpha$  flux, so it is a **robust diagnostic**. Although an accurate consideration of an instrumental and **physical background** is needed.